

An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal www.vidhyayanaejournal.org Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

Methodological Approach Towards Removing Shadow and Detection

Vaibhav Desai

Assistant Professor,

SDJ International College, Vesu



An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal www.vidhyayanaejournal.org Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

Abstract

Identifying and removing shadows in various real-world situations, such as satellite pictures, and computer vision systems. It is a difficult job for humans to complete. Shadow in a high-resolution picture may incorrectly classify the actual object, lowering the performance of a satellite image. There are a variety of strategies and methods that can be used to identify and eliminate shadows from satellite images. This study paper aims to provide an overview of various methods and techniques for shadow detection and removal, along with their benefits and drawbacks. For researchers working in the same field, this study paper will serve as a quick reference.

Keywords

Shadow Removal, Detection Methods

Introduction

Any form of signal processing known as "digital image processing" involves using an image input signal such as an image or a video image to create an image or a set of parameters that relate to the image as the final product. There are many different elements of digital imaging, including zoom, image segmentation, image enhancement, etc. When working with satellite images, shadow detection and removal are essential steps in the digital image editing process. When an item blocks the light coming from the light source, a shadow result. Shadows offer a wealth of information about an object's shape as well as precise rules. There are instances when we are unable to identify an object's original image in a picture. Many computer vision systems become less reliable when shadow is present. Satellite images frequently have poorer visual clarity due to shadow.For the computer vision algorithm and picture enhancement, the shadow of the removed image makes a perfect pretreatment step. Screen systems are very popular right now, especially for public spaces like airports, train stops, and building entrances. In this regard, the most important prerequisite for all systems is the accurate detection of moving objects. A significant obstacle to moving objects being differentiated from their shadows is the detection of moving objects. Shadows are incorrectly categorized as a moving entity as well as the subsequent analysis stages, like classifying objects or inaccurate monitoring operations. The traffic flow must be followed by the traffic monitoring device. Due to the difficulty in determining the precise traffic flow, shadows may cause traffic to be misclassified. It will significantly harm



An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal www.vidhyayanaejournal.org Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

the monitoring mechanism.

SHADOW DETECTION

Definition: A shadow is aregion where direct light from a light source cannot reach due to obstacle by an object. There have been less studies regarding shadow removal, and the existing approaches cannot completely restore the original background patterns after removing the shadows.

Shadow Belief:

The clarification picture is smoothly spaced. Within the shade region, the texture remains unchanged. The clarification picture is almost stable in the shadow region. Because the mirror image, not the illumination one, is present, the pixels inside the shadow region have different colors.

SOME TECHNIQUES

Region growing

The clarification picture is smoothly spaced. Within the shade region, the texture remains unchanged. The clarification picture is almost stable in the shadow region. Because the mirror image, not the illumination one, is present, the pixels inside the shadow region have different colors.

Dual- Pass Otsu Method

It causes the pixel luminance to be split into high and low values. Self and cast shadow vary fundamentally in the threshold framework. Cast shadow pixels are made up of background pixels. It is expensive because it is computationally driven. The outcome is subpar in the context of achievement.

Edge Subtraction and Morphology

With the aid of clever edge detection, it can identify both the backdrop and foreground edges. When a satellite image has a dark and light combination, this is one of the finest methods. It is the method that costs the most to compute.



An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal www.vidhyayanaejournal.org Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

Gradient based background subtraction

The edges of the object are extracted with the aid of the neighbored ratio, and its threshold is set for T vertically and T horizontally. To isolate the foreground, a Gaussian mixture is used. The Real Time Application uses this method. For the identification of shadows, location plays a critical part. Results contain insufficient information.

Based on intensity information

It is employed to determine the ratio value's standard deviation. Shadow particles are clearly visible. The pixel intensity value is influenced by variations in illumination.

Illumination Assessment Method

The illumination assessment technique determines the presence of shadow in an object, and cast shadow is divided from the object by subtracting background edges from foreground edges. Cameras that are fixed are helpful. Less time is needed for implementation. Measured is only the foreground picture. This method investigates the presence of shade. It was not applicable for all applications.

The principal Components analysis (PCA) and luminance based multi- scale Retina (LMSR) algorithm

With this method, characteristics are more visible. The extent of development in remote areas.

Hierarchical graph cut

The picture has been overly divided into the Super Pixel, Lazy Snapper, Shadow, Non-Shadow, and Background areas. Multiple tagging is resolved. The hierarchical graph section algorithm is used to solve the shadow distance and stereo adjustment issues in image restoration. Only one picture is considered when removing the shadow.

Susan algorithm

Data from a video highway captured in Avi format that was processed by Susan and mixed gaussian. Background if made available for dissemination. Technique has excellent detection effect, low complexity,



An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal www.vidhyayanaejournal.org Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

high adaptability, and is very easy and convenient.

Harris algorithm

Effective corner identification is achieved by excluding nearby pixels. superior to Susan's program in effectiveness and efficiency. This prevents clumping.

DISCUSSIONS

In this study, we have covered every aspect of the detection and elimination of shadows in interior and outdoor scenes, satellite imagery, etc. study carried out using provided satellite imagery or different image types, real-time applications. a study of various shadow identification and removal techniques and algorithms, including pros and cons.



An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal www.vidhyayanaejournal.org Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

REFERENCES

[1] Vishal Ganngadharrao and P.U. Chati (April-2002), "Shadow Detection Technique of Satellite Image for Shadow Removal", Nagpur, India.

[2] Prof. S. S. Kulkarni, Kiran Hingmire, Pallavi Kute, Samiksha Kusalkar, and Saylee Pethe (March-2005), "Survey on Shadow Detection and Reconstruction in VHR Images", SAE, Pune, Maharastra, India.

[3] Prateek Sharma and Richa Sharma (2003), "Shadow Detection and its Removal from Images Using Strong Edge Detection Method", Punjabi University, Patiala India.

[4] J.M. Wang et.al., "shadow detection and removal for traffic images", preceding of IEEE international conference on networking, sensing & control, Taipei Taiwan.

[5] Yang Yijun, Zhao Rongchun and Jiang Wenbing (2002), "Detection of shadow areas from aerial imagery". Signal Processing, 18(3), pp.228-232.