Vidhyayana - ISSN 2454-8596

An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal

www.j.vidhyayanaejournal.org

Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

A Systematic Review of Pain Management Barriers Among Arthralgia Patients

Mr. Rameshchandra B. Kaila

Research Scholar, Dept. of Nursing,

Sai Tirupati University, Umarda, Udaipur

Vidhyayana - ISSN 2454-8596
An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal
www.j.vidhyayanaejournal.org
Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

Vidhyayana - ISSN 2454-8596
An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal
www.j.vidhyayanaejournal.org
Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

Abstract

Pain management is a specific issue among nursing-home residents that provides major and unique problems to caregivers in terms of providing proper care. Over the past decade, the scope of this public health issue has been thoroughly documented. A person's perception and response to pain may be influenced by a variety of circumstances. Ethnic/cultural values, age, surroundings, and support system, as well as anxiety and stress, are all factors to consider. A stressful setting, such as a hospital or a nurse's attitude, might raise a patient's anxiety and reduce their pain tolerance. The present article is an attempt to examine a number of characteristics that have been identified as barriers to pain treatment in arthralgia patients.

Key Words: Pain Management, Arthralgia, Barriers, Cultural Values, Environment

Vidhyayana - ISSN 2454-8596
An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal
www.j.vidhyayanaejournal.org
Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

Introduction:

Despite the broad prevalence of the issue, pain is often neglected or managed ineffectively, resulting in real physical harm and inhibiting improvement. Furthermore, extended hospitalization contributes to higher health-care expenses. Pain management is difficult and requires a methodical strategy to evaluation and treatment. There has been a progressive change in attention toward pain control and pain management during the past two decades, regardless of the origin of the pain. Pain increases mortality and morbidity (Bocchino, 1992).

Pain is an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience connected with existing or prospective tissue damage, or defined in terms of such damage, according to the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP, 2007). The consensus development conference of the National Institute of Health (NIH, 1986) proposed three pain categories depending on the aetiology of pain. Acute pain occurs shortly after a physical damage, triggering an inflammatory response, and lessens as the body heals. Chronic malignant pain is a kind of pain that occurs in cancer patients and may be acute, chronic, or intermittent, with a definite cause such as tumor invasion or neuropathy induced by another tumour. and chronic non-malignant pain, such as low back pain, arthritic pain, and phantom limb pain, continues beyond the period when healing normally occurs. Chronic non-malignant pain may have both nociceptive and neuropathic components, necessitating a range of pharmaceutical and nonpharmaceutical therapies. Chronic pain is persistent, steady, or intermittent pain that lasts longer than anticipated and is seldom linked to a particular cause or damage (Russel, Porter & Stratton, 1997).

Factor Affecting Pain Experience:

A person's perception and response to pain may be influenced by a variety of circumstances. The ethnic / cultural values, age, surroundings, and support system, as well as anxiety and stress, are all factors. A stressful setting, such as a hospital or a nurse's attitude, might raise a client's anxiety and reduce their pain tolerance (Hall, 2000). These variables may alter a person's perception of pain or pain tolerance, as well as their reactions to pain It is tempting to believe that someone who has experienced many or long-term pain experiences

Vidhyayana - ISSN 2454-8596
An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal
www.j.vidhyayanaejournal.org
Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

would be less worried and more pain tolerant than someone who has had minimal pain (Russel, Porter, & Stratton, 1997). A customer who has never experienced a certain form of discomfort may find it difficult to deal with it (Kettlman, 2000). Suffering beliefs and responses fluctuate from culture to culture, influencing how individuals interpret the origin of, hear about, and express pain. Fatigue increases pain perception while lowering coping capacities. It has also been noted that an older individual's reaction to pain differs from that of a younger one (Hall, 2000). When researchers looked at gender variations in pain levels, they discovered that women felt greater pain intensity, pain unpleasantness, annoyance, and dread than males. According to studies, males get a higher first dosage and more frequent dose of pain medication than women (Celia, 2000).

Barriers to Effective Pain Management in Arthralgia:

According to Jamison (1997) the health-care system, clinicians, and patients themselves all present impediments to successful pain treatment. The medicine doesn't get them high; it only relieves their pain. The frequency and consequences of chronic pain need significant consideration. Pain may affect every part of life and affect overall quality of life, according to clinicians, researchers, and patients. Physicians have the ability to help chronic pain patients, but they must overcome various obstacles that prevent successful therapy. Clinicians might unknowingly provide hurdles to clinical interactions with patients due to gaps in information, poor attitudes regarding prescription opioids, weak evaluation abilities, and prescribing shyness. Several hurdles to pain evaluation and treatment have been observed in a number of clinical settings, and they may be divided into four categories: patient-related barriers, system-related barriers, and caregiver-related barriers.

Healthcare System Barriers:

In the form of practical limits, the health-care system may be a barrier to effective pain management. Major barriers to pain therapy include a lack of a local pharmacy, transportation to the physician or pharmacy, a shortage of high-dose opioids at the pharmacy, and the lack of a home caregiver to help with medicine administration. Changes in payment procedures create obstacles, particularly for elderly patients whose Medicare coverage may not cover outpatient prescription medication expenditures. Patients and caregivers may also face rising co-

Vidhyayana - ISSN 2454-8596
An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal
www.j.vidhyayanaejournal.org
Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

payments, out-of-pocket charges, medication filling limitations, and refill restrictions. Finally, fear of regulatory scrutiny for prescribing banned medications has been demonstrated to deter clinicians from prescribing opioids with appropriate potency for the patient's pain, particularly in chronic non-malignant pain. Fears like these might lead to the use of less effective painkillers and, as a consequence, undertreatment of the patient's pain.

Inadequate pain management training and knowledge, improper pain assessment, fear of regulatory scrutiny, fear of patient addiction, concern about analgesic side effects, concern about the development of analgesic tolerance, and a treatment plan tailored to meet the physical and psychological needs of the pain patient are among the physician barriers. The issue might start with medical schools and residency programs placing a low focus on pain management. When questioned about their pain management training, 88 percent of doctors said their medical school education was inadequate, and 73 percent said their residency training was adequate or inadequate (Von Roenn et al., 1993).

Von Roenn et al. (1993) requested that doctors identify obstacles to pain treatment in their respective practice contexts. All 897 doctors who responded to the survey were members of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group and were responsible for patient care. Approximately three quarters of the doctors (76 percent) listed their own lack of confidence in assessing patients as the most significant impediment to successful pain treatment. 61 percent of respondents reported reluctance to administer opioids as the second most significant obstacle. 88 percent of oncologists assessed their medical school education in pain treatment as fair or bad, 73 percent rated their residency training as fair or poor, and just 51 percent rated pain management in their own practices as excellent or very good, according to a poll.

Patient Related Barriers:

Fitzcharles (2009) reported that moderate to severe pain was present in over fifty percent of individuals with rheumatoid arthritis, with many reporting the existence of substantial pain management hurdles. These obstacles contribute likely to suboptimal pain management. According to research, arthralgia patients, particularly those whose condition is poorly managed, have an increased risk for heart disease and stroke. Several psychological issues, such as anxiety, discomfort, sadness, rage, and dementia, might impact pain evaluation

Vidhyayana - ISSN 2454-8596
An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal
www.j.vidhyayanaejournal.org
Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

and therapy. Patients cited fear of addiction, tolerance, and adverse consequences as their primary worries. In summary, it is evident that patient-related barriers include fear of addiction, worry about tolerance, concern over side effects, and beliefs, among others. It is possible that older adults might not disclose pain because they dread diagnostic procedures that cause discomfort or agony. They may also dread being dependent on pain relievers, "becoming a burden," and being considered a "complainer." Cultural attitudes, sadness, and a lack of funds to purchase drugs all contribute to unreported and untreated pain.

Donovan (1990) reported that the beliefs of Arthralgia patients were connected with medication usage. In general, patients do cost–benefit evaluations on their prescription medicine and are more likely to take it when their impression of the requirement for treatment (efficacy and perceived consequences of untreated disease) surpasses their reservations about it (side-effects, addiction and development of tolerance). Donovan discovered that sixty percent of arthralgia patients cite fear of adverse effects as a primary factor affecting their choices to adjust dosage or frequency. Patients often grant themselves a "trial of therapy" during which they stick to the prescribed treatment and evaluate its efficacy in light of their own beliefs and expectations. When outcomes fall short of expectations, patients may be more prone to alter their regimen or discontinue therapy. Patients often grant themselves a "trial of therapy" during which they stick to the prescribed treatment and evaluate its efficacy in light of their own beliefs and expectations. When outcomes fall short of expectations, patients may be more prone to alter their regimen or discontinue therapy.

Inappropriate usage of psychotropic drugs and acetaminophen was discovered in Della & Mayahara's (2011) research, and more than 60 percent of patients did not recognize the names or dosages of analgesics they were given. They conducted research to identify obstacles to pain management. Concern of prescription side effects, fear of drug addiction, worry of drug combinations, fear of disguising the sickness, and dislike to taking several tablets were cited as hurdles. Patients with rheumatoid arthritis whose ages ranged from 14 to 57 years. 66 percent felt discomfort at night, with 23 percent experiencing pain more than once at night. 87 percent anticipated having 'some' or 'much' pain, while just 13 percent anticipated having nil or little pain. 85 percent believed their pain might be alleviated, while 65 percent said it was well-

Vidhyayana - ISSN 2454-8596
An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal
www.j.vidhyayanaejournal.org
Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

managed. 47 percent want improved pain alleviation even if they were satisfied with their present treatment.

Family Caregiver Related Barriers:

According to Levine (2008), the value of family life cannot be overstated. It is a vital component of life that is frequently taken for granted until something threatens it, yet it must be remembered that not everyone has a family; nonetheless, all participants in this research had family. It is critical to engage the whole family in the plan; it is difficult for family members to witness a loved one in agony, so incorporating them in the planning process gives them the feeling that they can contribute to the patient's comfort. Caregiver evaluation should take into consideration what the caregiver is able and willing to deliver in order to be successful. Gender stereotypes may cause oncologists to believe that women are better at wound care, feeding, washing, and wheelchair manoeuvring than males, although this is not always the true.

Family members can help patients learn more effective pain management techniques. Clients trust their caregivers to detect and relieve their bodily pain. This might include taking a skilful and compassionate approach, experimenting with various comfort measures, and acting as a client advocate. There are several family-related difficulties, such as fear of addiction, attitude, old age, and social status, among others. Patients will experience less pain if family-related obstacles to pain treatment are addressed.

Psychological support and practical problem-solving aid from health care experts may enhance the positive parts of caregiving. Family members and caregivers might sometimes obstruct appropriate pain treatment by raising worries about debilitating side effects like disorientation and sleepiness. Even if the patient's and family's misunderstandings and anxieties contribute to unrelieved pain.

Nurses Related Barriers:

According to Zhang et al. (2008), nurses play a critical role in pain evaluation and treatment. They often function as a liaison between the doctor and the patient, as well as the primary observer of the patient's pain and suffering. As a result, it is critical to identify nurse-related impediments to pain evaluation and treatment. Health care practitioners sometimes hold preconceptions regarding clients in pain. Unless the client exhibits objective pain signals, the

Vidhyayana - ISSN 2454-8596
An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal
www.j.vidhyayanaejournal.org
Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

nurse may not think they are in pain. Experts who make assumptions about clients in pain have an impact on nursing assessments and may severely restrict pain management options.

According to Taylor (1999), a caring nurse is one who is considered to personalize treatment to the individual's needs. She should constantly ask clients not just what interventions they would want but also how they would like them to be delivered. It's also crucial to figure out if consumers anticipate complete pain relief or just a reduction in their suffering when they seek help for pain. Ayfer (2011) studied 114 nurses who worked in clinics for internal medicine, cancer, and surgery. System-related obstacles were the most often perceived hurdles to pain treatment. The greatest grades were given to the lack of psychological support services and the patient-to-nurse ratio. Nurses' insufficient understanding of pain treatment (10%) and apathy (8%) were both cited as impediments to pain management by a minor proportion of the nurses. Sixty-five percent of nurses believed that there was insufficient time for health education with patients. Inadequate physician evaluation of discomfort and pain management (63 percent) and physicians' disinterest were the most often cited physician-related impediments (47 percent). The most generally mentioned patient-related hurdles were patients' difficulties filling out pain scales (56 percent) and consumers' lack of desire for outcomes (53 percent). A large number of the nurses who took part said they had no awareness about patient-related obstacles. Regular and ongoing pain education programs may aid in the formation of a collaborative team attitude among physicians and nurses.

According to McCaffery (1999), nurses often allow misconceptions about pain to influence their readiness to administer pain treatment. Inadequate pain evaluation provided by nurses result in unrelieved pain and unoptimized pain treatment. Inadequate pain evaluation by nurses is a major roadblock to effective pain management and a decrease in unrelieved pain. Several nursing organizations, according to Richards (2008), have stressed nurses' moral responsibilities to employ aggressive pain management measures near the end of life. They remind nurses that the rule of twofold impact, a bioethical concept, gives ethical justification for delivering analgesics despite the risk of mortality. This rule argues that if an unintended outcome (such as accelerated death) arises as a result of an activity performed to attain a moral good (such as pain relief), the action is permissible since the nurse's aim is to alleviate suffering

Vidhyayana - ISSN 2454-8596
An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal
www.j.vidhyayanaejournal.org
Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

rather than hasten death (Beauchamp & Childress, 2001). Poor treatment and greater client suffering occur from health care providers' inability to appropriately and consistently measure pain. National and international organizations have made efforts to address this issue.

Conclusion:

Limited range of motion, reduced muscular strength, poor fitness and physical functioning are also common symptoms of arthralgia. Arthralgia is also linked to a worse quality of life and trouble completing everyday chores. Physical inactivity seems to be a substantial cause to rapid functional deterioration, worse mental health, obesity, and deconditioning in people with arthritis. These side effects of a sedentary lifestyle add up to even larger medical bills. Overweight and physical inactivity are also factors in the start, progression, and severity of arthritis, as well as a worse quality of life. Several hurdles to pain evaluation and treatment have been observed in a number of clinical settings, and these barriers may be divided into four categories: patient-related barriers, system-related barriers, and other barriers.

References

1. Bocchino, C. A. (1992). An interview with Danialcarr & adajacox, Nsg economics. 10, 165-175.
2. International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP), 1994. Classification of chronic pain. Description of chronic pain syndromes and definitions of pain terms, second ed. IASP, Seattle. National Institute of Health, Consensus Deut Panel, (1986). New gains against pain, emergency medicine .18, 143,147.
3. Russel, R.; Porter, J. & Stratton, M. (1997). Pain Relief in Labour. BMJ Publishing.
4. Hall, J. (2000). Learning curve: The Nature of pain. The first of a five-part series. AMJ Nursing, 100 (5), 22.
5. Kettleman, K. (2000). Controlling Pain Soothing the ache of joint surgery Nursing, 30 (7), 14.
6. Celia, B. (2000). Age and gender difference in pain management following coronary artery bypass surgery, IGERONTOL nurse, 26(5), 7.
7. Jamison, R. N. (1997). Assessment of Postoperative Pain Management: Patient Satisfaction and Perceived Helpfulness. Clinical Journal of Pain. 13(3), 229- 236.
8. Von Roenn, J.H.; Cleeland, C.S.; Gonin, R.; Hatfield, A.K.; & Pandya, K.J. (1993). Physician attitudes and practice in cancer pain management. A survey from the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. Ann Intern Med, 119, 121–6
9. Fitzcharles, M. A. (2009). Patient barriers to pain management may contribute to poor pain control in rheumatoid arthritis. The Journal of Pain. Volume 10(3), 300–305.
10. Donovan, J. (1990). Patient education and the consultation: the importance of lay beliefs. Ann. Rheum. Dis. 50, 418 – 421.
11. Della, V. K & Mayahara, M. (2011). Pain Medication Management by Hospice Caregivers Pain. J.Pain, USA, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Publishing Group, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited.
12. Levine, C. (2008). Nursing and Social Work Leadership. Am J Nurs, 108 (9), 13- 15.

13. Zhang, C.H.; Hsu, L.; Zou, B.R.; et al (2008). Effects of a pain education program on nurses' pain knowledge, attitudes and pain assessment practices in China, J Pain Symptom Manage, 36, 616–627.
14. Taylor, A. (1999). Complementary/Alternative therapies in the treatment of pain, an evidence-based approach in Spencer J.USA, St. Louis, Mosby.
15. Ayfer, E. (2011). Nurses' Perceived Barriers to Assessment and Management of Pain.Journal of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology, 33, 33–38.
16. McCaffery, M. (1999). Pain management: problems and progress. In: McCaffery M, Pasero C. Pain: clinical manual. 2nd ed. St. Louis, MO: Mosby, p. 1-14.