A Relative Research of Self Efficacy Between Different Game Players (Contact and Non-Contact)

Sandeep Singh

Research Scholar

(C.T University) Ludhiana,

Punjab India.

sandeepkuhuiwala@gmail.com

Dr. Pravin Kumar

Professor School of Humanities and Physical Education

(C.T University) Ludhiana,

Punjab India.

hodphyedu.ctu@ctgroup.in

CONFERENCE PROCEEDING

An International Multidisciplinary Multilingual E-Conference on "INTERROGATING THE IDEA OF DEVELOPMENT: A 360 DEGREE INVESTIGATION"

Special Issue - Volume.6 Issue 6, June – 2021

Page No. 1

Abstract:

The purpose of the study was toa compare the self-efficacy of contact game and non-contact game players. For this total 100 players (50 subjects contact game players, 50 subjects of non-contact game players) who had participated at national club level and aged between 18 to 23yrs. were selected using purposive sampling technique. "Self-efficacy Questionnaire" a standardized sports psychological inventory designed by Asst.. Prof.. Mrs. Maninder Kaur(st college of education) was used for data collection. The collected data was analyzed using Independent sample's' test. The results of the study showed that there was a no significant difference in self efficacy Contact Game and Non-Contact Game Players at 0.05 level of confidence. It was concluded that Non-Contact Game players showed significantly more self-efficacy than the Contact Game Players.

Keywords: self-efficacy, contact game, non-contact game

1. Introduction

1.1 Theoretical Background of the study

Psychology is a science in which, we study about human behavior and Sports Psychology is primarily concerned with the analysis of behavior of sports persons. Sports psychology involves the study of how psychological factors affect performance and how participation in sports and exercises affect psychological and physical factors. Concentration, confidence, control, and commitment are generally considered the main psychological qualities that are important for successful performance in sports. Nowadays sports not only require physical skills, but a strong mental game as well. Self - efficacy and Locus of Control are complex components of mind. Self - efficacy is the most important single attribute and the key to understanding the behavior of an individual. The self - efficacy is how we think about and evaluate ourselves. To be aware of oneself is to have a concept of oneself. The term self - efficacy concept is a general term used to refer to how someone thinks about or perceives them. Self - efficacy is a multi - dimensional construct that refers to an individual"s perception of "self" in relation to any number of characteristics. A locus of control

CONFERENCE PROCEEDING

An International Multidisciplinary Multilingual E-Conference on "INTERROGATING THE IDEA OF DEVELOPMENT: A 360 DEGREE INVESTIGATION"

Special Issue - Volume.6 Issue 6, June – 2021

Page No. 2

orientation is a belief about whether the outcomes of our actions are contingent on what we do (internal control orientation) or on events outside our personal control (external control orientation). In the present scenario, sports have become highly competitive. All individuals are varying from each other. No two individuals are exactly alike. Personality traits are veryimportant in sports.

There are many situations which may require first aid, and Sports psychology in many ways is a fortunate scientific field of inquiry as it provides an arena for the study of human performance and emotions spanning the "thrill of victory to the agony of defeat" as well as group dynamics, organizational behavior and individual personality characteristics. Overall the literature supports, the idea that the mental preparation strategies have a positive effect on the performance as it is assumed that physical ability of an individual are related to his psychological structure because the environment in which the physical abilities are displayed constitute an ideal setting for the development of psychological characteristics as well.The rationale of this research work circles around the factors like self-efficacy which is the axis of human traits and to a large extent affects the outcome of the specific behavior. Self-efficacy is people"s belief in their capabilities to perform in ways that give them control over events that affect their lives. Bandura (1977) used self-efficacy to denote a situational specific variable which influences performance and determines how much efforts individual will expand and how long they will persist in the face of obstacles and difficult experiences. Therefore, higher the self-efficacy more will be the intensive effort while lower the self-efficacy less will be the effort and difficult tasks will be viewed as threats.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Nowadays sports is becoming an over growing industry. Sportsmen require a very strong belief in their abilities. Hence the athlete needs to have self-efficacy to perform at different levels of sports and also different sports demands different levels of self-efficacy. Contact games have a different environment compared to non-contact games. To attain high level of performance the athlete has to be mentally stable and should have a strong belief in their own abilities. Having a strong self-efficacy helps the athlete to perform better. Level of Self

CONFERENCE PROCEEDING

An International Multidisciplinary Multilingual E-Conference on "INTERROGATING THE IDEA OF DEVELOPMENT: A 360 DEGREE INVESTIGATION"

Special Issue - Volume.6 Issue 6, June – 2021

Page No. 3

efficacy is different in contact game players and non-contact game players. Outcome. Self-efficacy is considered as a situation-specific issue in contact game and non-contact game. Taking this concept in mind researcher have selected this topic

"A Comparative Study of Self Efficacy between Contact Game andNon-Contact Game Players"

1.3 Significance of the Study

☐   The study will help the players to know their level of Self Efficacy.

☐   The study will help the coaches to know players Self Efficacy.

☐   The study will help to understand the self-efficacy of players playing contact game and noncontact game.

☐   This study will also highlight the different between the self-efficacy of contact game players and non-contact game players.

1.4 Objective of the Study

☐   To assess the level of Self-Efficacy of contact game players of Punjab state

☐   To assess the level of Self-Efficacy of non-contact game players of Punjab state

☐   To compare the level of Self-Efficacy of Contact game players and Non-Contact game players of Punjab state

1.5 Hypothesis of the Study

★ H_1. There is a significant difference in level of Self Efficacy between Players of Non-Contact and Contact Games.

★ H_0. There is no significant difference in level of Self Efficacy between Players of Non-Contact and Contact Games.

CONFERENCE PROCEEDING

An International Multidisciplinary Multilingual E-Conference on "INTERROGATING THE IDEA OF DEVELOPMENT: A 360 DEGREE INVESTIGATION"

Special Issue - Volume.6 Issue 6, June – 2021

Page No. 4

1.6 Assumption of the Study

☐ It was assumed that all the colleges would grant permission to administer the study.

☐ It was assumed that all players will actively co-operate and fill up the questionnaire without any hesitations.

☐ It was assumed that all players for this study will follow the instructions and provide true information.

1.7 Limitation of the Study

☐ Family background, dietary habits and economic status were the limitations of the study.

☐ Training age of the players was the limitation of study.

☐ Player"s lifestyle was also the limitation of the study.

The answers given by players in questionnaire were accepted to be correct and weren"t cross checked. Hence it is considered as limitation of study.

1.8. Delimitation of the Study

☐ The study was delimited to the players of Punjab Stat aged between 18 to 23years.

☐ This study was delimited to following contact games and non-contact games.

Contact Games	Non-Contact Games
Football	Volleyball
Boxing	Table tennis
Kho. Kho	Shooting
Hockey	Cricket

CONFERENCE PROCEEDING

An International Multidisciplinary Multilingual E-Conference on "INTERROGATING THE IDEA OF DEVELOPMENT: A 360 DEGREE INVESTIGATION"

Special Issue - Volume.6 Issue 6, June – 2021

Page No. 5

☐ Further, this study was delimited to national level players of the following sports clubs of Punjab state.

- o K.P.T. sports club o Metro sports club o Dasmash sports club
- o D A V sports club

2. Operational Definition

- Self-Efficacy: Self efficacy refers to the belief of the athlete in oneself in performing a task keeping in mind the practice session in which they will be participating.

- Contact Game: A game such as Football, Basketball, Hockey or Handball that involves physical contact between players as a part of normal play during the game.

- Non-Contact Game: A game such as Volleyball, Softball or Cricket in which the players are physically separated such as to make it impossible for them to make physical contact during the game.

- National players: All male players that have represented the state of Maharashtra at National level.

2.2 Methodology

For the present study descriptive comparative method was used to assess and compare the self-efficacy of contact Game and non-contact Game.

2.3 Sampling

For the present study the researcher used purposive sampling technique to select the sample from the population because the entire population for the study was not known.

2.3.1 Population

All the contact game and non-contact game Players aged between 18 to 23years from Punjab Stat who participated in the National competition of the respective games.

CONFERENCE PROCEEDING

An International Multidisciplinary Multilingual E-Conference on "INTERROGATING THE IDEA OF DEVELOPMENT: A 360 DEGREE INVESTIGATION"

Special Issue - Volume.6 Issue 6, June – 2021

Page No. 6

2.3.2 Sample

- From the population total 100 subjects (Contact Game 50 players and non-contact Game 50 players) were selected purposive sampling technique for the present study. The subjects were selected as given in the table below.

CONTACT GAME			
Boxing	Kho.Kho	Football	Hockey
10	10	10	10
NON-CONTACT GAME			
Tabletennis	Shooting	Cricket	Volleyball
10	10	10	10

Total=50+50=100.

2.4 Tools Used for Data Collection

The self-efficacy questionnaire Mrs Maninder Kaur (S.T. college of education) was used to evaluate the self-efficacy of the subjects... It is a sport specific questionnaire to evaluate overall self-efficacy of the players. It consists of forty-four questions. The subjects had to respond. The score for the questionnaire was prepared to know the self-efficacy of contact game &non-contact game. There were five options in questionnaire and students had to tick [Õ] on any one option provided below each question. There were no right or wrong answer.

2.5 Procedure

To enhance the cooperation of the subjects the researcher personally met the subjects, explained the purpose of investigation and gave a clear instruction regarding the method for answering the questions. The researcher distributed the questionnaire booklet for marking the responses. The researcher in person in a face to face relationship administered the entire questionnaire. The subjects went through the instructions, read each statement carefully and indicated their responses. All the filled in questionnaires were collected from the subjects and

CONFERENCE PROCEEDING

An International Multidisciplinary Multilingual E-Conference on "INTERROGATING THE IDEA OF DEVELOPMENT: A 360 DEGREE INVESTIGATION"

Special Issue - Volume.6 Issue 6, June – 2021

Page No. 7

scoring was done according to the scoring key. Usually every individual of completed the questionnaire within the time limited. The questionnaire is prepared for knowing the self-efficacy of contact game &non-contact game. Tick [Õ] any one option provide. There was no right or wrong answer. Solve all 44 questions. Maximum time limit for filling up the questionnaire is 30 minutes.

2.6 Statistical Tools

To evaluate the score of self-efficacy descriptive statistics were used. To compare the self-efficacy of contact game and non-contact Game players„t" test was used. To test the hypotheses, the level of significance was set at 0.05.

- **Data Analysis and Interpretation**

3.1 Introduction

The data collected was analyzed using statistical technique such as t-test independent. In this chapter the data will be interpreted under two heads viz.

☐	Analysis and interpretation of self-efficacy score of contact game.

☐	Analysis and interpretation of self-efficacy score of non-contact game.

☐	Comparative analysis of the score of contact game and non-contact game.

All the statistical calculations were done using the 11.5 spas software.

3.2 Analysis and Interpretation:

After data collection and scoring the next step is to analyze the data and verify the research hypothesis followed by interpretation. The details of data analysis and interpretation of results have been presented systematically in this chapter.

CONFERENCE PROCEEDING

An International Multidisciplinary Multilingual E-Conference on "INTERROGATING THE IDEA OF DEVELOPMENT: A 360 DEGREE INVESTIGATION"

Special Issue - Volume.6 Issue 6, June – 2021

Page No. 8

3.3 Analysis of Self Efficacy

3.3.1 Descriptive Statistic

Table 4.1: Descriptive Statistic of Self Efficacy Between Contact Game and Non Contact Game Players

	Game Type	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Self-efficacy	Contact game	50	156.2500	21.09168	3.33489
	Non-contact game	50	166.7750	22.07968	3.49110

The above table 4.1 shows the mean and standard deviation for self-efficacy of contact game as 156.25 and 21.09 respectively and the mean and standard deviation of self-efficacy of non-contact game 166.77 and 22.07 respectively.

3.3.2 Testing of Hypothesis

The aim of this current study was to compare the self-efficacy of contact Game and non-contact Game players. For this purpose the research hypothesis was stated as, "H1-There is a significant difference in self efficacy between contact Game and non-contact Game players". The null hypothesis was stated as "H0- There is no significant difference in self efficacy between contact Game and non-contact Game players". The null hypothesis was tested using independent sample t test for all the contact game and non-contact game.

CONFERENCE PROCEEDING

An International Multidisciplinary Multilingual E-Conference on "INTERROGATING THE IDEA OF DEVELOPMENT: A 360 DEGREE INVESTIGATION"

Special Issue - Volume.6 Issue 6, June – 2021

Page No. 9

Table 2: Comparison of Self Efficacy Between Contact Game and Non-Contact Game Players

		F	Sig.	T	dd	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference
Self-efficacy	Equal variances assumed	.225	.636	-2.1100	78	.032	-10.52500	4.82797
	Equal variances not assumed			-2.1100	77.837	.032	-10.52500	4.82797

Table 4.9 shows the statistical analysis for self-efficacy using independent sample t test. Since the significant value is greater than 0.05 equal variance is assumed. The calculated t value (-2.1100) for dd 78 shows that there is a no significant difference in Self Efficacy between Contact Game and Non-Contact Game players at 0.05 significance level (p=.032). Hence the research hypothesis was rejected and null hypothesis was accepted.

3.4 Conclusions

Researcher analyzes the collected data as per objective set for the research study. After implementing the appropriate statistical tools to analyze the data, it was shown that is onsignificance difference between the self-efficacy of contact game and non-contact game. Hence research hypothesis is accepted.

3.5 Discussion of Findings

From the findings it was observed that there is no significant difference in the self-efficacy of contact game players and non-Contact Game players. This findings may be due to their past successful experience and may be due to the exposure of the players to various level of competition which improves their confidence and in turn their self-efficacy. Bandura (1997) suggested that past sports experiences and repeated successes increase and build self-efficacy.

CONFERENCE PROCEEDING

An International Multidisciplinary Multilingual E-Conference on "INTERROGATING THE IDEA OF DEVELOPMENT: A 360 DEGREE INVESTIGATION"

Special Issue - Volume.6 Issue 6, June – 2021

Page No. 10

Trait sport confidence was a strong robust belief in personal efficacy, while predictor of state sport confidence in super repeated failures. As Bandura suggested that the Experience is very important for the players to have higher self-efficacy an in the present study the subject selected in both the groups had similar level of experience. This could be the reason that there was no significant difference found in the self-efficacy of contact game players and non-contact Game players.

4.2 Summary

This study of self-efficacy of contact game and non-contact game of the national club affiliated to Punjab stat was undertaken to find the difference between the self-efficacy of contact game and non-contact game players.

For the present study the researcher used purposive sampling technique to select the sample from the population because the entire population for the study was not known.

"The self-efficacy inventory test" was administered to all the selected sampling and data was collected from the selected contact game and non-contact game players. The data gathered was statistically analyzed by applying independent"s" test with the help of spas (11.5 version) software and interpretations were drawn.

After analyzing the collected data no significance difference were found between self-efficacy of the contact game and non-contact game.

4.3 Conclusion

On the basis of the result obtained in this study the investigator made the following conclusions:

☐   In this study, the distribution of self-efficacy score of contact game and non-contact game players was nearly normal.

☐   The research study signifies that there is no significance difference found between the self-efficacy of contact game and non-contact game players.

CONFERENCE PROCEEDING

An International Multidisciplinary Multilingual E-Conference on "INTERROGATING THE IDEA OF DEVELOPMENT: A 360 DEGREE INVESTIGATION"

Special Issue - Volume.6 Issue 6, June – 2021

Page No. 11

4.4 Recommendations for Further Studies

☐   This study can be conducted on national players.

☐   This study can be conducted on players playing at different levels.

☐   This study can be conducted on contact and non-contact games.

☐   This study can be conducted by taking different games.

☐   This study can be conducted to compare between different geographical areas.

CONFERENCE PROCEEDING

An International Multidisciplinary Multilingual E-Conference on
"INTERROGATING THE IDEA OF DEVELOPMENT: A 360 DEGREE INVESTIGATION"

Special Issue - Volume.6 Issue 6, June – 2021

Page No. 12

References

[1] Bandura (1977), A Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying Theory of behavioral change. Psychological Review, 84, 191-215.

[2] Manzo, L.G., Mondi, W.G., Clark, B. &Schneider (2005), Confidence. In J. Taylor & G. Wilson (Ed.). Applying Sport Psychology Four Perspectives Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics p. 21-33.

[3] Bandura (1982), A. Self-efficacy mechanism in human agency. American Psychologist, 37, 122-147.

[4] Bandura (1984), A. Recycling Misconceptions of Perceived Self Efficacy. Cognitive Therapy and Research, 8(3), 231– 255.

[5] Veale (1986), R.S. Conceptualization of Sport Confidence and Competitive Orientation: Preliminary Investigation and Instrument Development. Journal of Sport Psychology, 8, 221–246.

[6] Beauchamp, M.R., Bray, S.R., &Albinos (2002), J.G. Recompletion Imagery, self-efficacy, and performance in Collegiate golfers. Journal of Sports Sciences, 20, 697-699.

[7] Felts, D. L., &Muggon (1983), D. A. A replication of the path Analysis of the causal elements in Bandura‟s theory of self-efficacyand the influence of autonomic perception. Journal of Sport Psychology, 5, 263-277.

[8] Bandura, A. (1977 b). Social Learning Theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.

[9] Vancouver, J.B., Thompson, C.M., & Williams, A.A. (2001). The changing signs in the Relationships among self-efficacy, personal goals, and performance. Journal of Applied Psychology, 86 (4).

CONFERENCE PROCEEDING

An International Multidisciplinary Multilingual E-Conference on "INTERROGATING THE IDEA OF DEVELOPMENT: A 360 DEGREE INVESTIGATION"

Special Issue - Volume.6 Issue 6, June – 2021

Page No. 13

[10]   McDonald, T., &Segall, M. (1992). The effects of technological self-efficacy and job Focus on job performance, attitudes, and withdrawal behaviors. The Journal of Psychology, 126(5).

[11]   Gould, D. & Weiss M.R. (1981) the effects of model similarity and model talk on self- efficacy and muscular endurance. Journal of Sport Psychology, 3, 17-29

CONFERENCE PROCEEDING

An International Multidisciplinary Multilingual E-Conference on "INTERROGATING THE IDEA OF DEVELOPMENT: A 360 DEGREE INVESTIGATION"

Special Issue - Volume.6 Issue 6, June – 2021

Page No. 14