

An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal www.vidhyayanaejournal.org
Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

Exploring the Complex Interplay of Religion, Atheism, and Agnosticism in Indian Society

Author:

Dr. Jayant M. Parmar

parmarjayantm@gmail.com



An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal www.vidhyayanaejournal.org
Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

Abstract:

This paper explores the complex dynamics of religion, atheism, and agnosticism in Indian society. The paper begins with a quotation emphasizing the destructive potential and historical significance of religion. It detects an undercurrent of atheism in India, doubting the role of faith and its relevance in contemporary times. The study examines the enduring fixation with religion, its opposition, and the historical evolution of resistance strategies. It also examines the coexistence of religion and science, posing the question of why people continue to believe in a force that, according to historical evidence, has taken more than it has given. The paper examines the historical context of religion in India, highlighting how ingrained it is in the nation's history and culture, making it inseparable from one's upbringing and traditions. The historical presence of atheism, exemplified by Charvaka's philosophy, is discussed in order to emphasize the significance of knowledge and reason in Indian culture. This paper examines spirituality as distinct from religion, arguing that it provides a path to interior peace and answers to existential concerns. It contends that the conflict between faith and reason, primordial traditions and modernity, and God and the mind is a challenge for modern generations.

Exploring the Complex Interplay of Religion, Atheism, and Agnosticism in Indian Society

"I think religion is the greatest curse on mankind. It has killed more people, destroyed more property than any other thing." (Naipaul, 1990, p. 488). An atheist undercurrent in India is the result of a lack of faith. A decade ago, the topic was topical, but it wasn't relevant a decade later either. Religion, religion, belief, atheism, agnosticism, and agnosticism are the accessories to bring the varied hues of the inner self of humans from the static life. The subject of religion is nothing new. The tradition dates back centuries, and its opposition goes back just as far. The methods used to resist have evolved over the course of history.

Similarly, to religion, science is a phenomenon that may be discussed. Yes, there is a branch of knowledge known as science that only accepts evidence that can be proven. Apart from science, any intellectual can examine history and analyse the events that brought the number of genocides, loots and fraud and deception - religion. Religion. Why would someone who knows that religion has taken more than it has given believe in such a thing? What is it that religion provides that science, art, and other human inventions are unable to? Is there a reason why a person desires something beyond the human mind?



An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal www.vidhyayanaejournal.org
Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

Questions! However, the queries mentioned above may be contradicted by others.

Why would a human initiate something that he couldn't understand? It's hard to understand why somebody would put their faith in a non-existent entity. Alternatively, why do people persist in believing in something if they aren't receiving the comfort, peace, or benefit they sought from it in the first place? Because futility isn't something that can be sustained over the course of millennia. What brought the religion to where it is today, especially in the context of India, is then discussed. Due to the fact that in India, religion is a part of history and history is a part of religion in India As a result, it is impossible to evaluate one without referencing the other.

Because if there is an unexplained quest that leads to faith, then there must be an unexplained quest that leads to atheism as well. There has been a long history of atheism in Indian culture. Known as Lokayata, Charvaka's philosophy is a type of Indian materialism. Around 600 BCE, Brihaspati is regarded as the father of Charvaka or Lokayata philosophy. Charvaka's logical reasoning was challenged by Buddhism and Jainism at the time. Immortality, the afterlife, liberation, and the legitimacy of the Vedas are all categorically rejected in the belief. Knowledge is at the heart of Indian culture. Spirituality, on the other hand, is intolerant of reason and rationality. It's missing here. Metaphysics is no longer a concern for the younger generation. That which people perceive, and experience are what they hold to be true for them.

Role of Religion

Those who were afraid of supernatural forces sparked the rise of religion. Perhaps this dread was conquered by hominids offering gifts and ceremonies to the force of nature. The genesis of religion can thus be argued to be nothing more than an explanation for human psychology rather than something concrete. As a result, in India and other contemporary social systems, one does not need to be taught what it means to be religious and what it means to be nonreligious. Religion was instilled in them as a result of their upbringing and the traditions they grew up with. According to the Oxford English Dictionary, "religion" is defined as "the practises of any society that are attentive to what is believed to be sacred, unique, or extraordinary." As stated by Clark and Clanton Jr. (2012): It's not about a single person, but rather the entire civilization. There are many times in our culture where we don't distinguish between sacred and non-sacred objects. This results in a child's ambiguity in their reasonable thinking. Unfortunately, as time goes, this uncertainty transforms into blind faith, and the attractive behaviours of religious traditions take the place of reasoning. Another feature of religion is that it provides people with a sense of belonging or roots in their lives. It



An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal www.vidhyayanaejournal.org
Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

serves as a platform for preaching that eventually becomes ingrained in one's character. Because these beliefs are so ingrained, it is impossible for people to modify them over time, even if that is what is required. They deteriorate. Even though the roots are still there, the leaves will eventually die and regrow. This rarely occurs in a community when there is a lot of animosity and conflict.

According to Sen, silence is an opponent of social justice because it creates a climate that is hostile to those who speak out against injustice. Secularism and anti-religion are both theories. Atheists and agnostics coexisted peacefully in India alongside Hindus, Buddhists, Jains, Christians, Muslims, Parsees, and Sikhs. The Hindu majority nation of India enables its citizens to be non-Hindu, non-believer, and atheist without fear of discrimination. To believe or not to believe is a matter of personal choice, not an inherent part of one's nature. It is not logically reasonable to consider something sacred while deeming it indefensible to others. It is possible that the origins of religion may have reasons that are unknown to us now. The so-called religious culture had reasons for atheism as well, and it is still searching for the meaning and logic underlying religion's supernatural, traditional, and ritualistic features.

For the intellectuals of today's generation, religious norms can be difficult to embrace. Pundit Javali, a character in the Ramayana, tells Ram to "follow what is within your experience and do not bother yourself with what lies beyond the domain of human experience." In 2005, (Sen, 2005, p. 26) The actual message of originality, arguments, logic, and rationality is missing from religion in this instance. The use of religion as a means of self-expression is not new. It obstructs a person's ability to see beyond their religious beliefs.

It's not my aim to denigrate any religion, but the fundamental meaning of religion has faded through time and now feels empty. One of the goals of religion is to help people find peace and comfort in their shared humanity. But now it has to contend with its own gloom. When people use it as an excuse to engage in unhealthy practises, they end up harming themselves and their communities. There must be a reconciliation between the two religions if their goal is to help the seeker on this planet and find the real route to salvation in the afterlife. Atheism is a result of this religion's materialism. The rituals and customs of religion are supposed to promote peace and harmony in society, although this is not always the case. Religions have always had flaws and defects; this has been true from antiquity when a group of people had to debate the doctrines of many religions. It may be a stretch to say that religion is not a component of culture, but it is. That's why it's critical that we don't cultivate an environment where people can't build a strong, healthy society because that won't be conducive to long-term growth.



An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal www.vidhyayanaejournal.org
Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

Religion versus Spirituality

Religion is a complex set of ideas and practises, but it is possible to get the answers you are looking for via spirituality. Religious activities are what make up religion; spirituality is the process of integrating those practises into one's life and making sense of them. The journey to your innermost self begins with spirituality. It aids in the discovery of answers to the existential issues that plague us. Religion and spirituality both have the potential to help people avoid conflict in their daily lives and in their minds and hearts.

A spiritual encounter is required for salvation in a broader sense by all religions, regardless of their beliefs. There are many questions that can only be answered via spirituality. It promotes inner tranquilly. This generation is completely uninterested in metaphysics, as I have already emphasised. Consequently, it creates a clash between faith and reason, between ancient ways of doing things and new technology, and between God and the mind.

Yes, both God and the human mind are involved. Regardless of whether the mind created God or the God created the creature with the mind, it has long been a mystery. Irrespective of whether or not anyone believes in them, they exist as distinct entities. Indeed, God does not begin to exist if someone believes in him, and God does not cease to exist if someone does not believe in him. Those who claim to be God's messengers portray Him in accordance with their own interpretations. Everyone, however, is unable to accept the other person's point of view. Believers seek help from God, the priests, or spiritual teachers while atheists search their own hearts and minds for a sense of calm. Both can attain enlightenment at different points in their lives. Thus, according to atheists, the power of the intellect is everything.

Atheism and Agnosticism

In terms of religion, India has a significant amount of heterodoxy. It also appeals to the scepticism prevalent in ancient times and heterodoxy. For the sake of comparison, Indians have a plethora of religious texts to choose from. It reveals the nation's religious beliefs. Ending with "Who knows?" is a fundamental doubt in the Vedas, which are known as "the song of creation." Who will declare it in this place? How did it come to be? How did this come to be? After the universe was born, the gods appeared. Who knows where it came from? – Only the one in the highest heaven, who looks down on it, understands how it came to be — perhaps it formed itself, or perhaps it didn't – or perhaps he didn't know." O'Flaherty, J. (1981, pp. 25-6). As



An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal www.vidhyayanaejournal.org
Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

a result, Indians are naturally sceptical.

Atheism can be defined as a position that rejects the existence of a supernatural being. In the preceding section, we looked at some of the causes of scepticism or hostility toward organised religion. The Atheist believes that whatever he or she seeks from religion already resides within him or her. Atheists think that everything in the universe can be satisfactorily explained without the aid of God. Hence there is no need to affirm God's existence in the first place. It is possible to understand the entire cosmos through evolution and scientific cosmology. The existence of a divine being is not required for our existence. Agnosticism does not lead to atheism. I believe that agnosticism is a result of a lack of knowledge about an invisible force. As T. H. Huxley explained, he coined the term "Agnostic" to describe those who, like him, admit to being utterly ignorant about a wide range of topics that metaphysicians and theologians of both orthodox and heterodox schools of thought dogmatize with the utmost confidence as "important." It's a matter of perspective. What exactly does it mean when someone says, "I am an atheist"? After experiencing the nonexistence of God, or not being able to find answers in religion and spirituality, the person must have realised that there is no such thing as God. What does the phrase "I am agnostic" mean? An agnostic is someone who doesn't care whether there is a higher power. This individual is agnostic about whether or not God exists or does not exist. Some academics classify it as a psychological concept rather than a philosophical concept. It's more of a mentality than a stance. Since a few years ago, atheism has been receiving a lot of attention in academic and philosophical studies. Researchers, thinkers, and intellectuals who call themselves atheists have formed an impressive network to examine the implications of religion and philosophy.

Conclusion

Despite the philosophical nature of the topic, rambling on endlessly about it will not get us anywhere. Is there a problem that has to be addressed before this may happen? Isn't it up to the individual to decide? Belief is something that can only be gained by personal experience, not by observing the beliefs of those around you. Dissecting and responding to reality on the ground is essential. There were some pretty basic grounds given for atheism in this essay. In religious gatherings, no one discusses the origins of religion. To get to the heart of religion, belief, or faith, one must first go to the core of the content. As previously indicated, we do not dispute the presence of futility, but it does not last for millennia. Then what is making customers unsatisfied or not giving them with the content in a stated term?



An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal www.vidhyayanaejournal.org
Indexed in: ROAD & Google Scholar

References

Clark, T. R., & Clanton, Jr., D. W. (2012). *Understanding Religion and Popular Culture*. New York: Routledge.

Naipaul, V. S. (1990). India: A Million Mutinies Now. London: Vintage classics.

O'Flaherty, W. D. (1981). The Rigveda: An Anthology. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books.

Philosophy, S. E. (2017, August 02). *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Retrieved from https://plato.stanford.edu/: https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/atheism-agnosticism/

Sen, A. (2005). *The Argumentative Indian: Writings on Indian Culture, History, and Identity*. London: Penguin Books.